ADDRESSED TO THE OFFICE AND OFFICIALS AT THE JAMES V. ALLRED UNIT 2101 FM 369 N
TOWA PARK, TEXAS 76367

February 28, 2008 7-08CV-030-0

RE: NOTICE OF LAW SUIT, CONCERNING VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS, PURSUANT 42 U.S.C. 3 1983

DEFENDANTS, PARTIES
WARDON II, Eddie C. Williams
Asst. WARDON TUMMY L. NORWOOD
LAW library librarian Frankie L. Haynes
Officer CO IV ALVARD Charon
Officer Co , Davis Janedon
Officer Co V. Cleofe T. Palma
Officer Co (UNKNOWN) John Doe

PLAINTIFF, PARTY, prose

ROBERTO PEREZ, JR. #1189927, T. D.C.J.-ID. ALLRED UNIT 2101 FM 369 N 10WA PARK, TEXAS 76367

## TO DEFENDANTS NAME ABOVE,

I, ROBERTO PEREZ, JR., T.D.C.J. ID. #118997, AN INMATE RESIDING ON the JAMOS V. ALLRED UNIT, IS giving NOTICE INFORMALLY BY this complaint and affidavit, for the clevial of ACCESS TO THE COURTS, SAID defendantist retaliated Against plaintiff because plaintiff filed a greenance for the clevial of books, and access to the law library pursuant to BP-03.81 AND the Administrative Segregation plan AD-03.50, and any other rules, statules, by the State of Texas, governed by The United States Constitution 5th another than Amendments. It is this relatitory actions that left the Plaintiff imprepared for collaboral attack, and clirect appeal during a critical stage of the prosecution, denying ACCESS TO THE COURTS. Defendants are at pleasent, actively refusing supplies.

PENS, STAMPS (UR delaying to mail legal documents), Also denying Plaintiff books, Namely Vernous Annotated Texas Rules of Appellate and Procedure, Vernous Annotated Statutes 42 U.S.C.A. United States Constitution (Code) Annotated Statutes 42 U.S.C.A. 3 1983, 42 U.S.C.A. 3 2000 bb, cc.; All books Allowed AND Appeared by ACCESS TO COURTS; thus, retaliating for the filing of grievance clated November 11, 2007, thus Also Violating BP.03.81 (AD-03.50 Rm. 10) October 16, 2001, with Cited Authority Lewis V. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 116 S.Ct. 2174, 135 L.Ed. 2d. 606 (1996), therein SAID policy.

Plaintiff is in administrative Segregation and the facts will state facts and request that Defendant's CEASE AND DESIST IN their inappropriate conduct and Actions be taken by SAID WARDENSI AGAINST THE Officials STATED Above, And responsibility for the conduct of their officers, that has been known by both wardens and the law library Supervisor. Relief will be requested below.

## DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS

Un Uctober 15, 2007, Plaintiff was devied Vernous Annotated Texas Appellate Procedure, and west Texas Degest Criminal Lawkey;

ON October 17, 2007, Plaintiff was devied Vernous Annot-Ated Texas Appellate Procedure and west Texas Digest, on this clay requested Shepards;

Un October 19,2007, Plaintiff was denied Vernous Annotated Texas Constitution, ART. 13 19

On October 24, 2007, Plaintiff wrote I-60 to law library Supervisor Haynes, LibrarianII, concerning the devial of books listed (above), I-60 went disregarded, Plaintiff wrote Access To Courts, I-60 disregarded.

On October 26,2007, Plaintiff was devied ACCESS TO the law library;

On October 29, 2007, Plaintiff was devied ACCESS TO the library, (Plaint claims this was start of retaliation), Plaint we one letter to Supervisor concerning devial of books, requested formal resolution, Plaintiff whom access to cours;

ON October 31, 2007, Plaintiff spoke with Capt. James Concerning the law library policy BP-03.81, Plaintiff Assumes the Captain spoke with the Law library, recieved shapards requested on the 17th; requested shapards;

Un November 02, 2007, Plaintiff was devied access to THE LAW LIBRARY After complaints, spoke with Lt. Delk Concerning the donial of books;

On November 05, 2007, clevied access to the law library, filed grievance dated November 04, 2007, returned the 6th, refiled on the 7th, returned again on the 11th, filed on the 12th, returned on the 14th, filed on the 15th;

UN November 09th, 2007, PlAINTIFF WAS denied Access 70 the law library, UN November 14th, 2007, Plaintiff was denial west Texas Digest Criminal Law; (UNBEKNUWN TO PLAINTIFF, Denial of Petition for discretionary Review);

On November 16,2007, Plaintiff was devied hest Texas Digest Criminal law, resubmitted shepard request from the 31st;

Un November 19, 2007, Plaintiff was devised pen, wrote complaint to warden, access to courts, Director for T.DC.J.-ID., wrote grievance officer, law libeary Supervisor, devised access to the law libeary;

UN November 23, 2007, was devived Access to THE library

On the November 26, 2007, Plaintiff was deviced supplies and letters mailed out that had legal copies in 17 for copies to send to the court, wrote warpen, Law liberary Supervisor, Officer Chacon refused to pick up books from the 21st, also denying access to the law liberary;

UN November 28, 2007, Plaintiff was devied access To the law library

Un November 30, 2007, Plaintiff clenied ACCESS TO the law liberary, Although Sheparos were brought, it was like no books. Shepards requested on the 31st after resubmit, requested Shepards again this day; specifically also 42 U.S.C. & 1983

UN December 03, Plaintiff was denied supplies, and Access to the liberary (law), after complaints on the 19th and 26th of November;

On December 04,2007, plaintiff recieved a pen after complaint to warden, on the 3rd;

On December 05, 2007, Plaintiff recieved refusal for Discretionary Roview, AND was unprepared to file for Collateral Attack by motion; Plaintiff was denied Vernous Annotated Texas Government Codes; Plaintiff motion for who mening;

Un December 07,2007; Plaintiff was devised Vernous Annotated December 27,2007; Plaintiff was devised Vernous

Un December 10th, 2007, Plaintiff was devised access to the law liberary, library kept pen, devised supplies and to mail out legal documents to the Court, because plaintiff was no longer indigent; this day Plaintiff notice law library. Using S.S.I. to harrass and demy law books.

On December 13,2007, Plaintiff complained to Wardensel that I have library uses the immate to day deay books (cases), also that Chascon mucking the grievance system by telling the immate to tell me to file grance grievance;

UN December 14, 2007, IAW library Again brought legal items not requested;

Un December, 17,2007, Plaintiff was devised access to the lawlibrary;

ON December 19,2007, Plaintiff resubmitted I-60 eguesting shoppeds request on the 30th;

On December 24, 2007, Plaintiff was deviced access to the library;

On December 21, 2007, Plaintiff was deviced Vernous Annotated Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure;

On December 30,8007, Plaintiff was denied rules, handbook, unitedos, and on two other occasions was denied a copy of the ATC rule, NEXERORS A copy of A.T.C. EVER given as notice to offender, NOT IN ATC. Fosted.

UN JANUARY CH, 2008, PlAINTIFF RÉCIEVED legal Hems, devied 42 U.S.C.A. 3 1983 WIPP.;

UN JANUARY 07,2008, PlAINTIFT RECIEVED ShepARDS ORDERED NOVEMBER 30th, RESUBMITTED DECEMBER 19th, REGUESTED ShepARDS;

U.S.C.A. & 2000 W/ PP;

On JANUARY 11,2008, PlAINTH WAS devied Access to the law library;

ON JANUARY 14, 2008, PlAINTIFF RECIEVED Shappineds ordered on the 7th, Also recieved legal documents from Nucces Court Clerk;

UN JANUARY 15,2008, PlAINTIFF RECIEVED Clenial of Motion for rehearing, ordered Vernous Ann-UTATED TOWAS Rules of Appellate Procedure,

On January 16, 2008, Plaintiff was devised all reguested legal items, brought items did not reguest.

UN JANUARY 18, 2008, Plaintiff wrote NOTE (F-60) to law library Supercusor of Immate statement harrassing Plaintiff; legal document to be mailed to the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals returned by law library;

Un January 22, 2008, Plaintiff mailed (same) legal documents with indigent supplies, returned;

On January 23,2008, mailed by Plaintiff legal ducuments that Plaintiff Atlempted to on the 18th, S.S.I. Rubbing face in window, (Unknown to Plaintiff, Allegedly told neighbor, Plaintiff switching), Recieved Steparas;

Un January 25, 2008, Plaintiff was deviced ACCESS To the law library, and Supplies

Unusury 28,2008, Plaintiff informed Officer Chocon of the denial of books, And S.S.I. (talking trash) at the door, S.J.I. yelled our Plaintiff was suitching, Spoke with Lt. Delk of the denial of Supplies, RECIEVED pen on the 29th, Neighbor informed Plaintiff, S.S.I. told him that Plaintiff was suitching. (This occurred 28-29); Deviled 420.S.C. A. \$2000 cc

ON JANUARY 30, 2008, Plaintiff was devised ACCESS to the law liberary;

On February 01, 2008; Plaintiff porfectived legal items (cases) harf copied (pages); Recioued Mandate on appeal;

ON Pebuary 04, 2008, Plaintiff was deviced ACCESS to the law library.

On febrary 06, 2008, Plaintiff requested Officer Chacon how many staff was in law library, request doned; Pages half copied, requested officer Chacon to recopy, 5.5.1, STATED NO," packup ywr shit! Officer Chacon said nothing.

On Febuary OB, Plaintiff was donied access to the law library, brought same Hems as on the Obth, Officer Davis stated her name was not Davis, and refused to give her name.

ON February 09, 2008; Plaintiff spoke with officer Profix
Palma, of the cases, refused to take book reguest and deopped
In the markets, Plaintiff will not receive books fill the 13th.

REMEDIES/RELIEF REGUESTED

These ARE the complaints Against the law library Wardentsz, State generalize Exhaustion is Exhausted, Plaintiff is requesting immediate response from the Unit Warden and Asst. Warden with In (10) business days or complaint will Pollow in federal Court and service will be by U.S. Marshalm, requesting federal interwention, and chamages in mask montarry relief and any other relief exhitled to the Plaintiff, by each officer in their individual capacity.

- 1. That the Warden take immediate action against their law library and STUP ALL RETALLATION AGAINST PLAINTIFF, that means, that the Warden assure that Plaintiff recieve (AIT) books requested by him as entitled to him by access to Courts, until court day;
- \*. 2. That the warden remove Law library Haynes,
  FRANKIE, L. LAW LIBRARIAN II. FOR NOT TAKING APPROPRIATE ACTION
  OF the MISCONDUCT OF HER OFFICERS, CLISCIPLINARY ACTION REQUESTED
- 3. Officer Chacon, ALVARD COIV be removed for the devial of books, harrenssment, netaliation and access to covers, disciplinary requested;
- 4. Officer Davis, , be removed for the denial of books, harrassment, notatiation, and access to covers. disciplinary action regressed.

- 4. Officee Palma, Cleote T. Co V., be removed for the clevial of books, harrassment, retaliation and Access to the Courts; disciplinary Action requested;
- J. WARDENTST Keep these officials IN SAID law library from refusing days, books, and other legal postace, supplies ENTIFIED by INDIGENT INMATE, AND ENSURE ACCESS TO COURTS.
  - 6. Remove S.S.I. that usually with Officer Chacon from law library, for actions not consistent with his job discrepencies, tourth and lost demand to remove.
- 1. Any AND All misconduct will be noted and presented IN court, no fuether complaints will be varually presented to WARden, Asst. Marden or Staff for the law library.

Respectfully Submitted,

\* Kofeit Hiezof Plaintiff prose #1189927, H.S.G.114

ALLREDUNT

2101 FM 369 N

lowa PARK, IX 76367

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ROBERTO PEREZ, JR., the Plaintiff prose, Swears that these claims and allegation in this notice are true and correct, is forward by US. Postal Service on this \_28th changof February , 2008, to the parties below, signed by my happy.

X Profesto Leason Plandiff prose #1189927, H.S.G 114 ALLED UNIT 2101 FM 369 N LOWA PARK, IX 76367

C.C. Eddie C. Williams, Warden II Tommy C. Norwood, Att. Warden

Chawlibrary Supervisor is expected to give a copy to her Staff) x5
Frankie L. Haynes









